

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2005) KLR VOL 12 PART 207 pp. 3027 - 3180**

**DECEMBER 2005**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### **DECEMBER CONTD.**

- 8. Auto Import Export v. Adebayo & Ors. p. 3027
- 9. Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

### **COURT OF APPEAL CASES**

- 10. Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA
- 11. Unokan Enterprises Limited v. Omuvwie p. 3159 CA

**ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2005) 12 KLR**

ACTIONS - Banking - Guarantee - Liability - Waiver - Pleadings - As the plaintiff's action is based on two planks - It succeeds against the 3rd respondent - On the basis of the Deed of Guarantee (H6) Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p. 3027

ACTIONS - Contracts - Waiver - Bill of exchange - Guarantee - Courts - Where plaintiff accepted bills drawn contrary to contract - It is deemed to have waived its right - As found by the 2 lower courts - But to an extent (H1) Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p. 3027

ACTIONS - Waiver - Pleadings - A party's duty is to plead relevant facts - And leave the court - To determine the consequences in law (H2) Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p. 3027

APPEALS - Courts - Discretion - Interference - Where discretion is exercised upon a wrong principle - Appellate Court can interfere (H3) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

APPEALS - Defamation - Issues - Relevance - Issues that are not relevant in an appeal - Will be ignored by the Court (H11) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

APPEALS - Pleadings - Amendment of - Appellate Court can amend pleadings - To avoid substantial injustice - Under certain conditions (H2) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

BANKING - Bill of Exchange Act - Banks liability under the Act - Where the clause "without recourse" - Is endorsed on the bill - The Bank is not liable - Under s.16 of the Act (H4) Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p. 3027

BANKING - Bill of Exchange Act - Liability thereunder - Makes the 1st respondent also liable - Together with 2nd respondent - To pay the total value of the dishonoured bills (H3) Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p. 3027

BANKING - Courts - Guarantee contract - Though 3rd respondent is not liable under Bill of Exchange Act - For the dishonoured cheques - It is liable under the Contract of Guarantee - Contrary to wrongful findings of the lower courts (H5) Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p. 3027

COURTS - Appeals - Findings - Reversal of lower court's findings - Occurs in certain circumstances - Such as where erroneous view of the evidence - Was taken by the lower court (H5) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

DAMAGES - Appeals - Libel - Ejabulor case - Provides the guiding principles - In assessment of damages for libel - But lower court was right in reversing the damages - Awarded in appellant's favour (H10) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

DEFAMATION - Appeals - Pleadings - Libel - Cross examination - Facts extracted therefrom - That were not pleaded - Were rightly expunged by lower court - Unto interfering with trial court's finding (H7) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

DEFAMATION - Libel - Privileged publication - Pleadings - Solicitor's letter in this case being privileged - Trial court was wrong - In considering another fact - That was not pleaded (H6) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

DEFAMATION - Libel - Publication - Where there is defence of qualified privilege - What to consider is - Whether publication to the person pleaded - Constitutes actionable libel (H8) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

PLEADINGS - Amendment of - Can be allowed at any stage including appeal - Save where injustice will result - And exercise of discretion by Court - Must be done judiciously (H1) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

PLEADINGS - Libel - Reply - Where plaintiff never filed a reply - In reaction to the defence of qualified privilege - The defence cannot be

**iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2005) 12 KLR**

dislodged (H9) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Appeals - Pleadings - Amendment that will overreach - Lower court acted judiciously - When it exercised its discretion - In refusing the application - For leave to amend the Statement of Claim (H4) Mamman v. Salaudeen p. 3095

**COURT OF APPEAL**

ACTIONS - Counter claim - Courts - Fair hearing - Trial court's dismissal of the counter claim - Without hearing the defendants - Is not fair (H5) Unokan Ent. Ltd. v. Omuvwie p. 3159 CA

ACTIONS - Courts - Fair hearing - Retrial - Where right to fair hearing was breached - The entire proceedings are a nullity - And retrial will be ordered (H6) Unokan Ent. Ltd. v. Omuvwie p. 3159 CA

ACTIONS - Facts - Cause of action - Is the totality of material facts - Necessary to establish a legal right - In each particular case (H1) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

ACTIONS - Issues - Courts - Pleadings - Where non of the parties raised the issue of fraud - Trial Court was wrong - To raise and decide it suo motu (H4) Unokan Ent. Ltd. v. Omuvwie p. 3159 CA

ACTIONS - Reliefs - Separate and distinct stating of - O.25 r. 12(1) Ondo State HCR - Was not violated - By appellant's statement of claim (H2) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

APPEALS - Evidence - Evaluation - Master & Servant - Pension - Where trial court did not evaluate the evidence - Appellate court will do so in appropriate cases - And appellant is entitled to pension benefits (H4) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

APPEALS - Issues - Adoption - Grounds of appeal - Where no cross appeal or respondent's notice was filed - Respondent cannot depart from the issues - Raised by the appellant (H1) Unokan Ent. Ltd. v. Omuvwie p. 3159 CA

APPEALS - Issues - Principal issues raised - Subdivision of - Is not acceptable to the court - Which will restrict itself only to the principal issues (H2) Unokan Ent. Ltd. v. Omuvwie p. 3159 CA

COURTS - Claims - Relief not sought - In a party's pleadings - Should not be granted by the court (H3) Unokan Ent. Ltd. v. Omuvwie p. 3159 CA

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Meaning - Master & servant - Medical allowance - Where appellant was still under employment - When the illness arose - He is entitled to cost of treatment (H7) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

EVIDENCE - Master & servant - Documents - Notice to produce - Failure by a party to produce evidence - Which could be produced - Raises a presumption - That it is unfavourable to that party (H6) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

MASTER & SERVANT - Damages - Illness - Damages claimed for illness - Where employer did not cause that illness - The damages will not be granted (H8) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

MASTER & SERVANT - Evidence - Inconvenience allowance - Claim for - Though the evidence was not challenged - The Court will not act upon incredible evidence (H5) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

MASTER & SERVANT - Retirement benefits - Admission - Were the benefit in issue is admitted - No further proof is needed (H3) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Bills of Exchange Act (Cap. 35) L.F.N., 1990 ss. 3, 16, 27, 30(1) & (2),  
38 and 56 Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p. 3027

Bills of Exchange Act 1882 s. 62(1) Auto Import Export v. Adebayo p.  
3027

### **COURT OF APPEAL**

Evidence Act s. 149(d) Udoh v. Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA

Ondo State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules O. 25 r. 12(1) Udoh v.  
Okitipupa Oil Palm Plc. p. 3131 CA